may be brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner.

SUBJECT No. 61.

Improving the police force.

Messrs. M. Venkatakrishnaiya, Madappa and N Srikantaiya, Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The lower branches of the police department are manned by men, many of whom are illiterate and fear neither God nor man. The pay of the police force may be raised so that it may attract better men. Having thus improved their material condition, they may be severely punished if they are found guilty of offences against the life, liberty and honour of the people.

Mr. Ramanna—*Thotis* and *talaris* may be encouraged and more largely employed in detection work.

Reply of Government.—The question of increasing the pay of the police is under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 62.

Exemption from the Arms Regulation.

(*a*) Messrs. Dasappa and Chowdaiya, Arsikere Taluk; A. Venkatachar, Hole-Narsipur Taluk.

(*b*) Mr. V. Raghavachar, Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Hobli *shekdars* may be permitted to possess guns without a license, as Excise Inspectors and Foresters now do.

(*b*) Under the Arms Act, patels of *inam* villages are exempted from taking out licenses for carrying arms but the *inamdars* of entire villages themselves are not exempted. This anomaly may be rectified.

Reply of Government.—(*a*) Not necessary.

(*b*) *Inamdars* cannot be exempted as a class.

REVENUE.

(*Nos. 63 to 124.*)

SUBJECT No. 63.

Remission of notice fees.

(1) Messrs. Chikramanna, Byregowda, and Doddavembraliah, Kolar Taluk; Malali Venkataramaiya, Manjarabad Taluk; C. Vaman Rao and Subba Rao, Nagar Taluk.

(2) Messrs. Venkatasamiah, Chamrajnagar Taluk; and Lingegowda, Mysore District Board.

Summary of discussion.—(1) Amildars may be empowered to remit notice fees in deserving cases.

(2) If a person makes default in the payment of the first instalment of the *kandàyam*, the notice fee is levied on the full demand. The fee may be remitted when the full amount is tendered after the issue of demand notice.

Reply of Government.—No rule can be passed to the effect that the fee should be remitted in all cases in which the full amount is paid soon after the issue of the demand notice. Each case has to be dealt with on its merits. The question of empowering Amildars to sanction the remission of fees under clause (*c*) of Rule 95 of the Land Revenue Rules, is under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 64.

Exemption of share-holders from payment of fees for entry in the Special Notice Register.

Messrs. M. Venkataramaiya, D. Chowdaiya and C. Venkataramaiya, Channarayapatna Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Owing to the discontinuance of joint *pattas*, lands, the assessment on which a share-holder has paid, will be liable to sale if there is a misunderstanding between the *khatedar* and the share-holder. The share-holder has to pay a fee, if he desires to have his name entered in the Special Notice Register. Such fees may be excused for entries in the Special Notice Register

when applications for the purpose are presented by men interested in the *khate*.

Reply of Government.—No fee is required to be paid by co-occupants whose names have been entered in the records under Rule 75 of the Land Revenue Rules in order to get their names entered in the Special Notice Register. The fee is moreover levied only once on an application and its payment cannot therefore be excused in other cases.

SUBJECT No. 65.

Free distribution of *pattas*

Messrs. H. Marulasiddappa, Dasappa and Chowdaiya, Arsikere Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Village officers do not often grant *pattas* for payments made, nor are such payments correctly entered. The reason given is that shanbhogs are required to pay in advance for all the forms of which they have to keep a stock and they are unwilling to incur such expenditure. The ryots therefore find it difficult to obtain the *pattas*. The *pattas* may be ordered to be distributed free of cost to *khatedars* at the time of *Huzur Jamabandi*.

Reply of Government.—The cost of each form is three pies. Each shanbhog will be supplied with a sufficient number of *pattas*, and arrangements made to recover the cost from the *potgi* or after the forms are actually sold.

SUBJECT No. 66.

Separate money order forms for remitting revenue.

Messrs H. Gundappa, Kunigal Taluk; and G. Srinivasamurti, Hassan Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—As in the Madras Presidency a revenue money order form may be brought into use in this State also, as it will save the ryots the trouble of making payments directly and the form gives sufficient information from which the lands for which the amount is remitted can be easily made out.

Mr. B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam Municipality. The form is not likely to be much in demand. It is of no use to illiterate persons.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 67.

Searching fee for revenue records.

Messrs. Madappa, Mysore City Municipality; Krishnasastri and Somasetti, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—A fee of half a rupee per year is levied for searching revenue records. This is high. The fee may be fixed at 4 annas for the first year and 2 annas for each subsequent year.

Reply of Government.—The fee for a search is one rupee for each year and not eight annas as stated by the members. The question whether it is necessary to reduce it will be referred to the Revenue Commissioner for opinion.

SUBJECT No. 68.

Supply of printed copies of *khiradis* and *challan* books.

Mr. H. C. Subba Rao, Hunsur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—*Khiradi* books and *challan* forms may be got printed at Government cost and supplied gratis to shanbhogs.

Reply of Government.—Noted for consideration.